



## Control Hijacking

---

Basic Control  
Hijacking Attacks

# Control hijacking attacks

- Attacker's goal:
  - Take over target machine (e.g. web server)
    - Execute arbitrary code on target by hijacking application control flow
- Examples:
  - Buffer overflow and integer overflow attacks
  - Format string vulnerabilities
  - Use after free

# First example: buffer overflows


Extremely common bug in C/C++ programs.

- First major exploit: 1988 Internet Worm. Fingerd.

whenever possible avoid C/C++

... but often cannot avoid C/C++

- Need to understand attacks and defenses




Source: [web.nvd.nist.gov](http://web.nvd.nist.gov)


# What is needed

- Understanding C functions, the stack, and the heap.
  - Know how system calls are made
  - The exec() system call
- 
- Attacker needs to know which CPU and OS used on the target machine:
    - Our examples are for x86 running Linux or Windows
    - Details vary slightly between CPUs and OSs:
      - Little endian vs. big endian (x86 vs. Motorola)
      - Stack Frame structure (Unix vs. Windows)

# Linux process memory layout




# Stack Frame



# What are buffer overflows?

Suppose a web server contains a function:

When func() is called stack looks like:




```
void func(char *str) {  
    char buf[128];  
  
    strcpy(buf, str);  
    do-something(buf);  
}
```

# What are buffer overflows?

What if `*str` is 136 bytes long?

After `strcpy`:



```
void func(char *str) {  
    char buf[128];  
  
    strcpy(buf, str);  
    do-something(buf);  
}
```

Poisoned return address!


Problem:  
no bounds checking in `strcpy()`

# Basic stack exploit

Suppose `*str` is such that  
after `strcpy` stack looks like:

Program P: `exec("/bin/sh")`  
(exact shell code by Aleph One)

When `func()` exits, the user gets shell !  
Note: attack code P runs *in stack*.




# The NOP slide

Problem: how does attacker determine ret-address?

Solution: NOP slide

- Guess approximate stack state when `func()` is called
- Insert many NOPs before program P:  
`nop , xor eax,eax , inc ax`



# Details and examples

- Some complications:
  - Program P should not contain the '\0' character.
  - Overflow should not crash program before func() exits.
- (in)Famous remote stack smashing overflows:
  - Overflow in Windows animated cursors (ANI). [LoadAnilcon\(\)](#)
  - Buffer overflow in Symantec virus detection (May 2016)  
[overflow when parsing PE headers ... kernel vuln.](#)

# Many unsafe libc functions

`strcpy (char *dest, const char *src)`

`strcat (char *dest, const char *src)`

`gets (char *s)`

`scanf ( const char *format, ... )` and many more.

---

- “Safe” libc versions `strncpy()`, `strncat()` are misleading
  - e.g. `strncpy()` may leave string unterminated.
- Windows C run time (CRT):
  - `strcpy_s (*dest, DestSize, *src)`: ensures proper termination

# Buffer overflow opportunities

- Exception handlers: (... more on this in a bit)
  - Overwrite the address of an exception handler in stack frame.

- Function pointers: (e.g. PHP 4.0.2, MS MediaPlayer Bitmaps)




- Overflowing buf will override function pointer.

- Longjmp buffers: `longjmp(pos)` (e.g. Perl 5.003)


- Overflowing buf next to pos overrides value of pos.

# Heap exploits: corrupting virtual tables


Compiler generated function pointers (e.g. C++ code)



After overflow of **buf** :



# An example: exploiting the browser heap



QUESTION


Attacker's goal is to infect browsers visiting the web site

- How: send javascript to browser that exploits a heap overflow

# A reliable exploit?

```
<SCRIPT language="text/javascript">  
  
shellcode = unescape("%u4343%u4343%..."); // allocate in heap  
overflow-string = unescape("%u2332%u4276%...");  
  
cause-overflow(overflow-string ); // overflow buf[ ]  
</SCRIPT>
```

Problem: attacker does not know where browser places **shellcode** on the heap




# Heap Spraying

[SkyLined 2004]

Idea:

1. use Javascript to spray heap  
with shellcode (and NOP slides)
2. then point vtable ptr anywhere in spray area



# Javascript heap spraying

```
var nop = unescape("%u9090%u9090")
while (nop.length < 0x100000)    nop += nop;


var shellcode = unescape("%u4343%u4343%...");

var x = new Array ()
for (i=0; i<1000; i++) {
    x[i] = nop + shellcode;
}
```

Pointing function-ptr almost anywhere in heap will cause shellcode to execute.

# Ad-hoc heap overflow mitigations

- Better browser architecture:
  - Store JavaScript strings in a separate heap from browser heap
- OpenBSD and Windows 8 heap overflow protection:



- Nozzle [RLZ'08] : detect sprays by prevalence of code on heap

# Finding overflows by fuzzing

- To find overflow:
  - Run web server on local machine
  - Issue malformed requests (ending with “\$\$\$\$\$” )
    - Many automated tools exist (called fuzzers – next week)
  - If web server crashes,  
search core dump for “\$\$\$\$\$” to find overflow location
- Construct exploit (not easy given latest defenses)



## Control Hijacking

---

More Control  
Hijacking Attacks

# More Hijacking Opportunities

- **Integer overflows:** (e.g. MS DirectX MIDI Lib)
- **Double free:** double free space on heap
  - Can cause memory mgr to write data to specific location
  - Examples: CVS server
- **Use after free:** using memory after it is freed
- **Format string vulnerabilities**

# Integer Overflows

(see Phrack 60)

Problem: what happens when int exceeds max value?

**int m; (32 bits)**

**short s; (16 bits)**

**char c; (8 bits)**

$$c = 0x80 + 0x80 = 128 + 128 \Rightarrow c = 0$$

$$s = 0xff80 + 0x80 \Rightarrow s = 0$$

$$m = 0xfffffff80 + 0x80 \Rightarrow m = 0$$

Can this be exploited?


# An example

```
void func( char *buf1, *buf2,  unsigned int len1, len2) {  
    char temp[256];  
    if (len1 + len2 > 256) {return -1}          // length check  
    memcpy(temp, buf1, len1);                      // cat buffers  
    memcpy(temp+len1, buf2, len2);  
    do-something(temp);                          // do stuff  
}
```

What if **len1 = 0x80, len2 = 0xffffffff80** ?  
⇒ len1+len2 = 0

Second memcpy() will overflow heap !!

# Integer overflow exploit stats



# Format string bugs

# Format string problem

```
int func(char *user) {  
    fprintf(stderr, user);  
}
```

Problem: what if `*user = "%s%s%s%s%s%s%s%" ??`

- Most likely program will crash: DoS.
- If not, program will print memory contents. Privacy?
- Full exploit using `user = "%n"`

Correct form: `fprintf( stdout, "%s", user);`

# Vulnerable functions

Any function using a format string.

Printing:

printf, fprintf, sprintf, ...

vprintf, vfprintf, vsprintf, ...

Logging:

syslog, err, warn

# Exploit

- Dumping arbitrary memory:
  - Walk up stack until desired pointer is found.
  - `printf( "%08x.%08x.%08x.%08x|%s|" )`
- Writing to arbitrary memory:
  - `printf( "hello %n", &temp)` -- writes '6' into temp.
  - `printf( "%08x.%08x.%08x.%08x.%n" )`

Use after free exploits

# IE11 Example: CVE-2014-0282 (simplified)

```
<form id="form"> (IE11 written in C++)  
  <textarea id="c1" name="a1" ></textarea>  
  <input    id="c2" type="text" name="a2" value="val">  
</form>
```

```
<script>  
function changer() {  
  document.getElementById("form").innerHTML = "";  
  CollectGarbage(); // erase c1 and c2 fields  
}  
  
document.getElementById("c1").onpropertychange = changer;
```


```
document.getElementById("form").reset();
```

Loop on form elements:  
c1.DoReset()  
c2.DoReset()




# What just happened?

`c1.doReset()` causes `changer()` to be called and free object c2



# What just happened?

`c1.doReset()` causes `changer()` to be called and free object c2



Suppose attacker allocates a string of same size as vtable

When `c2.DoReset()` is called, attacker gets shell

# The exploit

```
<script>  
function changer() {  
    document.getElementById("form").innerHTML = "";  
    CollectGarbage();  
  
    --- allocate string object to occupy vtable location ---  
}  
  
document.getElementById("c1").onpropertychange = changer;  
document.getElementById("form").reset();  
</script>
```

Lesson: use after free can be a serious security vulnerability !!

# Next lecture ...

## DEFENSES

THE END

# References on heap spraying

- [1] **Heap Feng Shui in Javascript,**  
by A. Sotirov, *Blackhat Europe* 2007
- [2] **Engineering Heap Overflow Exploits with JavaScript**  
M. Daniel, J. Honoroff, and C. Miller, *WooT* 2008
- [3] **Interpreter Exploitation: Pointer inference and JiT spraying,**  
by Dion Blazakis